

Date: Mon, 26 Jul 93 08:47:28 PDT
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #259
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Mon, 26 Jul 93 Volume 93 : Issue 259

Today's Topics:

 1947 No Code survey (was Re: References for Code vs. (2 msgs)
 ARRL and it's members (5 msgs)
 Give a VE \$5.60, walk (5 msgs)
 Lead the Way! (was Re: 1947 No Code survey (was Re: References f
 PRB-1 and the ARRL
 Real CBers
 TS50 as CB (Re: TS50 Illegal!)

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 25 Jul 93 05:45:36 GMT
From: europa.eng.gtefsd.com!darwin.sura.net!math.ohio-state.edu!magnus.acs.ohio-
state.edu!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!wariat.org!wariat.org!nraven!floyd@uunet.uu.net
Subject: 1947 No Code survey (was Re: References for Code vs.
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

kd1hz@anomaly.sbs.com (Rev. Michael P. Deignan) writes:

>
> Then, I could tell you the story of the no-clue who came on simplex one
> evening when I was talking with a few friends and told me how I "should
> be talking on a repeater because someone might be able to learn something
> from the conversation". Obviously, he forgot about how repeaters should
> only be used if two stations can't communicate simplex, so we taught him.
>
>

> MD

Reminds me of around here... Actually, when I got licensed I used repeaters all the time.. great way to meet people. I joined the clubs, they're nice to be a member of when you're stuck on the side of the road and need to call someone, or you're in an accident. But, most of my QSO's are on simplex anymore... I can't deal with it. If I'm having a QSO with someone, I don't need a break every go-around to say "Hi" or what not. Also, I can't see the point of tying up a repeater to talk to someone I can talk to simplex. Although, I guess maybe I shouldn't compromise so much, I mean, when I "go simplex" the meter dosen't always move all the way.. we couldn't have that, now could we???

Date: 26 Jul 93 02:14:21 GMT
From: spool.mu.edu!torn!nott!uotcsi2!revcan!balsam!cowan@decwrl.dec.com
Subject: 1947 No Code survey (was Re: References for Code vs.
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

robert@amanda.jpunix.com (robert) writes:

>
> Isn't it strange how The League has ignored this fact. Oh well, so much
> for representation. By the way, virtually all Codeless Techs, here in
> Houston at least, have headed straight for Two Meters.
>
> Ten Forty Roger, and all those Good Numbers!
>

What's really interesting about being a no-coder on 2m is that there is an unending stream of old farts with holier-than-thou attitudes who make the band sound like CB by going on about 10-40 roger and all the good numbers.

I've never heard anything CB-ish uttered by anyone other than a VE3xx (that's a full priv advanced class license with 10+ years). Seems that it must be the code types that have the CB problem.

Perhaps if the old-farts-with-attitude spent some of their time doing constructive things like HELPING PEOPLE LEARN CODE, rather than running people down for not having the precious code, more people might learn it. On the other hand, when they sit back and bitch about no-coders, they sound just like the brain-dead goons on CB going on about how tough they are.

--

Darin Cowan - cowan@balsam.pinetree.org | I just try to make people's

VE3 OIJ

| lives a little more surreal

Date: 25 Jul 93 05:15:22 GMT
From: gatech!howland.reston.ans.net!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!wariat.org!wariat.org!
nraven!floyd@uunet.uu.net
Subject: ARRL and it's members
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

ron@topaz.bds.com (Ron Natalie) writes:

> First off, if you're not a league member, you got no bitching rights
> as to the ARRL's activities.
>

WRONG! As long as the ARRL cares to parade around and continue to represent the entrie amateur community I'll care to add in my \$.02. Giving them my money would just encourage them to stick their nose in somewhere else as they tend to do. The fact is that there is a lot od disillusion regarding the ARRL, and I sure would hope to see another organization rise up and represent the hams who don't think the ARRL is representing them.

73 de N8VUR

Date: 25 Jul 93 05:20:50 GMT
From: europa.eng.gtefsd.com!howland.reston.ans.net!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!wariat.org!
wariat.org!nraven!floyd@uunet.uu.net
Subject: ARRL and it's members
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

kd1hz@anomaly.sbs.com (Rev. Michael P. Deignan) writes:

> ron@topaz.bds.com (Ron Natalie) writes:
>
> >First off, if you're not a league member, you got no bitching rights
> >as to the ARRL's activities.
>
> Bullshit. If the ARRL professes itself to "represent the amateur community",
> then *every* amateur, regardless of whether or not they have paid tribute
> to the Newington Gods, is perfectly within his/her rights to criticize the
> ARRL for *anything* they do "representing the amateur community".
>
>

What is this, we agree on something?! :-) Just what I needed, I guess I'm just becoming an OF before my time. :)

In any case, in regards to the above: Thank you!

Date: 25 Jul 93 05:40:37 GMT
From: europa.eng.gtefsd.com!howland.reston.ans.net!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!wariat.org!
wariat.org!nraven!floyd@uunet.uu.net
Subject: ARRL and it's members
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

ron@topaz.bds.com (Ron Natalie) writes:

<message deleted>

Let me get this straight Ron...

The League goes out under the premise of representing the entire Amateur Community. But if I don't want them to represent me, I still have to send them \$30 to SUPPORT these ideas of which I wanted no part in the first place????? THEN I can voice my dissent.

As the Reverend so beautifully put it: Bullshit.

I'll voice my dissent because I'm being wrongfully misrepresented by a bunch of blow-hards who I wanted nothing to do with in the first place. Let the ARRL step out from under the guise of protectin the entire amateur radio service from every evil in the world and move on with real life already...

73 de N8VUR

Date: Sun, 25 Jul 93 03:36:48 CDT
From: dog.ee.lbl.gov!overload.lbl.gov!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!usc!
elroy.jpl.nasa.gov!swrinde!menudo.uh.edu!jpunix!unkaphaed!amanda!
robert@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: ARRL and it's members
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

ron@topaz.bds.com (Ron Natalie) writes:

> This whining that the League doesn't represent it's members is the same

> as people who don't vote whining that congress doesn't represent them either.

I vote, Ron, but I certainly don't pay \$30.00 a year for the privilege!

--Robert

Date: 26 Jul 93 14:04:52 GMT
From: ogicse!emory!swrinde!cs.utexas.edu!uwm.edu!ginews!don@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: ARRL and it's members
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <24i97B1w165w@amanda.jpunix.com> robert@amanda.jpunix.com (robert) writes:

>ron@topaz.bds.com (Ron Natalie) writes:

>

>> This whining that the League doesn't represent it's members is the same
>> as people who don't vote whining that congress doesn't represent them either.

>

>I vote, Ron, but I certainly don't pay \$30.00 a year for the privilege!

>

So, you don't pay any taxes? The IRS would be interested to hear that. If you think you don't pay for the privilege of voting, I suggest you look at your 1040 next April. The ARRL costs nothing compared to that.

Donald D. Woelz, K9GR

GENROCO, Inc.

205 Kettle Moraine Drive North

Slinger, WI 53086 U.S.A.

Office Phone: 414-644-8700

K9GR @WB9TYT.#MKE.WI.USA.NOAM

k9gr@k9gr.ampr.org [44.92.1.48]

don@genroco.com

Date: 25 Jul 93 05:36:50 GMT
From: noc.near.net!howland.reston.ans.net!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!wariat.org!
wariat.org!nraven!floyd@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Give a VE \$5.60, walk
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

jherman@uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu (Jeff Herman) writes:

> In article <1993Jul24.042735.5428@anomaly.sbs.com> kd1hz@anomaly.sbs.com (Rev

> >

> >Bullshit.

> ^^^^^^^^^

> I've never heard a Reverend say that word before.....

>

> JH
>

I dunno.. I always preferred to listen to someone who would call them as he saw them... there's this thing about honesty... it's an almost respectable trait anymore because no one ever wants to hear the truth.

Date: 25 Jul 93 04:52:42 GMT
From: udel!darwin.sura.net!math.ohio-state.edu!magnus.acs.ohio-state.edu!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!wariat.org!wariat.org!nraven!floyd@princeton.edu
Subject: Give a VE \$5.60, walk
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

randy@cyphyn.UUCP (Randy) writes:

> floyd@nraven.wariat.org (Douglas Dever) writes:
> : kd1hz@anomaly.sbs.com (Rev. Michael P. Deignan) writes:
> :
> : One more thing before I forget:
> :
> : In a few years No-Coders will be the "*majority*" or
> : amateur radio operators. Maybe if the old hams like yourself
> : would try and assist in upgrading and teaching these amateurs,
> : they'd do the same after and the amateur VHF spectrum might be
> : a much nicer place.
> :
> : 73 de N8VUR
> :
> : __Douglas A. Dever__ floyd@nraven.wariat.org
> :
>
> Very true.... but a lot of them just don't want to learn...they tell ya
> to buzz-off,-who-do-you-think-you-are...even on items such as an Antenna
> tuner lets ya use yer c/b antanna on 80 meters.
>
> Now,I'm not so sure I'd like them to know that won't work too well!
>
> There's too many of them, and they gang up on you,deliberatly QRM, and
> ...well...so much for 'teaching'.
>
>
> --
>
> Randy,KA1UNW If you get a shock while servicing your equipment
> DON'T JUMP! You might break an expensive tube.

>

You know.. the more I look around, the more I see your point.. but there still are a lot of good amateurs who are starting out no-code. I don't think no-code for 50MHz + is a bad idea. With my 2m all-mode, I've only ever heard 5 CW QSO's. HF is a different story all togher.... and as a final note: I'd like to see the finals on that 80m radio with the tuner and the 11M antenna....

73 de N8VUR

Date: 25 Jul 93 05:27:24 GMT
From: noc.near.net!howland.reston.ans.net!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!wariat.org!
wariat.org!nraven!floyd@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Give a VE \$5.60, walk
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

kd1hz@anomaly.sbs.com (Rev. Michael P. Deignan) writes:

>

> But, we do assist new amateurs. I assist in the coordination of several
> area nets designed to help amateurs upgrade their license class, and to
> give help to new amateurs in the hobby.

I didn't know about this until I read Tony's post. My apologies... you are trying to make a difference instead of sitting back and doing nothing. I was wrong.

>

> However, when someone asks a question here about a subject that is
> straight out of the novice and/or tech question pools, I really have
> to stop and ask "what has become of this hobby?"

>

> MD

True. I haven't really looked for something like that... I suppose it pops up rather regularly however! I dunno.. I've been facinated by ham radio for years, I finally got into it, and now I see I went into it the wrong way! :) It's really got to be depressing for the new hams who are worth anything who listen to the crap on a daily basis as if they're subhuman. I mean... we're all amateurs... some are better than others.. but there are idiots on all ends of the spectrum. (14.313) I don't know what has become of this hobby, but I don't like what I see or in this case hear, on the radio on a daily basis any more than you do.

I think we just need to find a way to scare them all simplex so we can use the repeater in an emergency! I heard something a few months back that absolutely floored me.. I was so outraged it wasn't even funny. Channel 88... the 146.88/.28 repeater:

there's a QSO in progress , and then: <callsign> Break , Break , Break! The local ham just kept on talking, almost timed out the repeater and then said, oh, I think there was a breaker in there go ahead.... then the breaker made an autopatch to call in an accident on the freeway.

What is happening to amateur radio?

73 de N8VUR

Date: 25 Jul 93 05:02:01 GMT
From: newsserver.jvnc.net!howland.reston.ans.net!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!wariat.org!
wariat.org!nraven!floyd@princeton.edu
Subject: Give a VE \$5.60, walk
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

system@garlic.sbs.com (Tony Pelliccio) writes:

> Doug,
>
> The problem is, we are quite active in helping new hams. How? We run the
> Ocean State Amateur Net which is for the purpose of acquainting new
> amateurs with things like operating procedure, etc. We also help to run
> the Ocean State Code Net which does code practice runs on 2m simplex at
> 5, 13 and 20WPM. Additionally, I'm an accredited volunteer examiner and
> Mike runs one hell of an amateur radio callsign and file server on the
> net.

Alright.... see, now this is new to me.... Hopefully you've gotten the good ones to upgrade.... Hopefully I'll get up to that VE site in August and do the same!

>
> While I agree that not all no-coders are what Mike might paint them to
> be, there are some that are and those are the ones that we need to head
> off. I'll give you a perfect example. I found out after giving an exam
> to someone that the guy was running a 1kw amp on his 11m rig and anytime
> a ham neighbor of his got on 10m the idiot would point his beam at the
> hams house and start keying up. Is this what we want on VHF/UHF? I think
> not. Maybe we should implement psychological testing for all potential
> hams. :) That'd weed out alot of undesirables, like myself and Mike. :)
>

> Tony

No, you're right, that's not what we want on VHF/UHF. I had someone say something the other day that I thought was a good point. I can't remember his call, but I remember that he's an advanced... he said to me:

The only difference between the pre-no-code days and the post-no-code days is the number of people. But the good people to the bad people has remained proportional. 3 years ago there was 1 idiot who would be on the repeater all the time screwing it up here in Cuyahoga County. Now we have 10 times the amateurs, and we have 10 people sitting there 18 hours out of the day screwing it up. It's all the same to me.

I thought about that a lot the past two days.... In my 5 years of listening, first with an analog tune radio and then later with a scanner, I see his point. We still ended up with a lot of good amateurs in the deal.... we might have even come out ahead! Naw! :)

73 de N8VUR

Date: 26 Jul 93 05:54:32 GMT
From: cs.utexas.edu!swrinde!menudo.uh.edu!jpunix!unkaphaed!amanda!
robert@RUTGERS.EDU
Subject: Give a VE \$5.60, walk
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

little@nuts2u.enet.dec.com (nuts2u::little) writes:

> st2cm@jane.uh.edu (Coyle, Robert L.) writes:
>
> >In article <1993Jul24.220849.8972@nnnnpd2.cxo.dec.com>, little@nuts2u.enet.de
> >[stuff deleted]
> >>If you don't care, then shut up. The rest of us are tired of hearing you
> >>whine about something you don't even care about. Do you just like seeing
> >
> >I think some of us have often thought the same about you, Todd.
>
> Yeah, but at least I care about the issues. I also don't spend most of my

The just upgrade, Todd, and quit complaining. Focus your negative energy toward positive goals.

> Does calling it a "no-clue" license help soothe your conscience? After
> all, they aren't "real" hams, they're just "no clues". (Why does this sound

I can't take credit for that tag. The honor must go to another.

> Also, I now see the logic in what you support. Those bands weren't good
> enough for the existing amateur population to use, so we create a new
> second class group of hams and give them the table scraps. How quaint.
If they are "table scraps," why are so many working so hard for the
amateur community to keep them? Would you rather ALL of our bands
are turned over to commercial interests?

I guess, Todd, you feel that effort should not be required in order
to earn an amateur ticket, correct? If this is your philosophy, then why
not just eliminate ALL examination requirements, and just have applicants
fill out a form and send it to the Commission? Since FCC Form 610
would be inadequate for this purpose, I suggest that Form 505 be used.

>
> 73,
> Todd
> N9MWB

All those good numbers to you, too, Good Buddy!
--Robert

Date: 26 Jul 93 00:40:54 GMT
From: cs.utexas.edu!swrinde!menudo.uh.edu!jpunix!unkaphaed!amanda!
robert@RUTGERS.EDU
Subject: Lead the Way! (was Re: 1947 No Code survey (was Re: References f
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

pschleck@cwis.unomaha.edu (Paul W Schleck KD3FU) writes:

[...]
> Isn't it just amazing how some hams will complain about how crowded
> "their" bands are, but won't make the switch themselves to 222, 440, and
> 1296? However, these new and inexperienced no-code Tech's were expected
> to chart out new frequency territory all by themselves, frequencies that
> experienced hams won't touch yet. "Get 'em out of our way!" is the
> rallying cry.
>

I have elected not to operate on any Amateur bands above 25 MHz.
I DO listen to Two Meters on occasion, which in my own humble opinion
has evolved to a VHF-FM version of Citizens Band. Oh well...

--Robert

Date: 25 Jul 93 04:44:38 GMT
From: udel!darwin.sura.net!howland.reston.ans.net!usenet.ins.cwru.edu!wariat.org!
wariat.org!nraven!floyd@princeton.edu
Subject: PRB-1 and the ARRL
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

n2ic@longs.att.com (Steve London) writes:

>
>
> The ARRL PRB-1 information packet is predicated on the assumption that
> municipalities are lazy and not willing to spend time and money when
> challenged.
>
> NOT !
>

Well... I note of relief for hams in the State of Ohio.
WA8ZHN, a ham who lives down the road from me fought with the city
council of Rocky River and some hairbrain neighbor of his all the way to
the Supreme Court of Ohio. Jim won. They said that the city's law was
legal but was pre-empted by PRB-1 and therefore it was useless. (BTW-
Rocky River is a small suburb on the west side of Cleveland) Our
wonderfull mayor remarked upon learning that the city was going to cover
all the court costs ZHN had paid: Amateurs radio operators are not
welcome in the city of Rocky River and should move out to Amherst where
their towers will fit in with all the windmills. Needless to say, he's
decided not to run for re-election. :-)

Every now and then there seems to be a small and quick outbreak of common
sense.... :)

73 de N8VUR

__Douglas A. Dever floyd@nraven.wariat.org

All Flames to: s9000159@llohoio.ll.pbs.org

Date: 26 Jul 93 07:41:56 GMT
From: cs.utexas.edu!swrinde!menudo.uh.edu!jpunix!unkaphaed!amanda!
robert@RUTGERS.EDU
Subject: Real CBers
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

rcanders@nyx.cs.du.edu (Mr. Nice Guy) writes:

> I seem to remember that shortly after the big CB bust when CB went to 40
> channels that many of real CBers learned to talk in Morris and got
>
> Rev. Rod (genuwin mail order minister)

Learned to talk in Morris? Negatory, Good Buddy. That's Morse,
like horse. Ten-Four?

--Robert

Date: 25 Jul 93 05:23:38 GMT
From: udel!darwin.sura.net!math.ohio-state.edu!magnus.acs.ohio-state.edu!
usenet.ins.cwru.edu!wariat.org!wariat.org!nraven!floyd@princeton.edu
Subject: TS50 as CB (Re: TS50 Illegal!)
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

mark@ve6mgs.ampr.org (Mark G. Salyzyn) writes:

> dadams@cray.com (David Adams) writes:
>
> >I wish the rule were ammended to allow licenced Amateurs the privelege to
> >use 11 meters (as secondary users, of course) also allowing them to
> >contact other legitimate users of those frequencies. (In other words
> >I think that it is really silly to have to have two radios in the car
> >to work 10 and 11 meters. If the TS-50 can do both, why not allow it
> >to do so if the user is a licenced Ham as long as he abides the 4 watt
> >pep rule etc?
>
> The chance for abuses are extreme here, a CBer now can more legitimately
> own an Amateur Radio to 'typically' use on 11M (but typically abuse on any
> frequency he feels fit to open up ...). Part of Type acceptance is to
> channelize the rig so it is idiot proof, I doubt that any Amateur would
> even use a Amateur Radio 'legitimately' on CB (power, frequency, et al)
> since the 11M band is hardly a gentleman's band (neither is 14.313).
>
> Now, just image the manufacturers making a Type Accepted Amateur Radio
> Rig that automatically is legal (channelized, 4W, VFO and XIT off) to operate
> on CB as well ... the petty fights between 'natural' Amateurs and
> 'CB' grown Amateurs just grew one notch ...
>

Amen! I mean, if you already have the ham gear, talk to the
hams, they're more fun, more amusing, heck, if you really get into it,
they'rse even worse than the CBers. (14.313) <Man I love my reciever, I

can hear HF Amateur Radio at it's finest. :(> In fact, you could probably just pitch the Childrens Band rig altogher.. unless of course you'd rather make lots of noise into a mic. instead of having a conversation with one.

73 de N8VUR

Date: 26 Jul 93 14:30:08 GMT
From: ogicse!uwm.edu!spool.mu.edu!darwin.sura.net!rsg1.er.usgs.gov!
dgg.cr.usgs.gov!bodoh@network.ucsd.edu
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <1786@arrl.org>, <23JUL199307025189@nssdca.gsfc.nasa.gov>,
<CAq72H.4r0@dartvax.dartmouth.edu>ov
Subject : Re: STILL waiting for your license? Read this and weep!

In article <23JUL199307025189@nssdca.gsfc.nasa.gov>
stocker@nssdca.gsfc.nasa.gov (ERICH FRANZ STOCKER) writes:

|>
|> This process at all levels is still !!!!! TOO !!!!! manual. It appears as
|> if the belief is that a manual process is the only way to ensure accuracy
|> and validity. Just because we haven't automated the WHOLE process before
|> doesn't mean we can't start doing it now. Very few of the type of people
|> performing VE service don't have access to a computer. Paper should be
|> involved only because of the FCC and we should really lobby to automate
|> their part so we can use EDI when dealing with them.
|>

--
In responses that I've seen from the ARRL, they state that the FCC plans
on implementing electronic filing sometime in the next year - and that the
ARRL supports it. Hopefully this will address some or all of the shortcomings
in the current system...

+++++
+ Tom Bodoh - Sr. systems software engineer, Hughes STX, NOX?? (in the mail) +
+ USGS/EROS Data Center, Sioux Falls, SD, USA 57198 (605) 594-6830 +
+ Internet; bodoh@dgg.cr.usgs.gov (152.61.192.66)
+
+ "Welcome back my friends to the show that never ends!" EL&P
+
+++++

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #259
